

From: [Thomas, Will](#)
To: [Hinckley SRFI](#)
Subject: Parker Strategic Land and others (Ref: 20040651) - Attendance at Compulsory Acquisition Hearing 1
Date: 01 September 2023 14:18:31
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[Parker Strategic Land and others - DCO Representations - 23.06.23.pdf](#)
Importance: High

Dear Sir/Madam

Registration identification number: 20040651

We act on behalf of Parker Strategic Land Limited ("Parker") and the following individuals:

- i) Philip Ian William Bailey
 - ii) Linda Margaret Bailey
 - iii) David Arnold Woodward
 - iv) Jane Elizabeth Woodward
 - v) Jane Lang Woodward
 - vi) Jonathan Charles Woodward
 - vii) Leonard Cooper Bailey
 - viii) Keith William Bailey
 - ix) David John Bailey
- (the "Landowners").

We wish to register to participate at Compulsory Acquisition Hearing 1 on Thursday 14 September

Attending on behalf of Parker and the Landowners will be Killian Garvey of Kings Chambers. His details are:

Email: [REDACTED]@kingschambers.com

Tel: [REDACTED]

Killian plans to attend in person. However, please can he also be sent a link to participate virtually in the event he his not able to travel on the day.

Killian will be elaborating on the points made in Parker and the Landowners' Relevant Representations (attached).

Please can you confirm safe receipt of this request and circulate the link to the virtual event as soon as possible.

Yours faithfully

Will Thomas

PRINCIPAL ASSOCIATE

T +44 [REDACTED]

M +44 [REDACTED]



Data protection regulations have changed. We'll always treat your personal data with respect. For more information about how we use your personal data, please visit our updated [Privacy Notice](#)

PLEASE BE AWARE OF THE INCREASE IN CYBERCRIME AND FRAUD. IF YOU RECEIVE AN EMAIL THAT APPEARS TO COME FROM SHOOSMITHS WHICH PROVIDES DIFFERENT BANK DETAILS OR INDICATES A CHANGE OF BANK DETAILS, IT IS UNLIKELY TO BE GENUINE. PLEASE DO NOT REPLY TO THE EMAIL OR ACT ON ANY INFORMATION CONTAINED IN IT BUT CONTACT US IMMEDIATELY TO CHECK THE ACCOUNT DETAILS. WE WILL NOT ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY IF YOU TRANSFER MONEY INTO AN INCORRECT ACCOUNT.

Unless expressly stated to the contrary in the body of this email, nothing in this email or any chain or attachment creates or is intended to create a contract and the adding of the sender's name is not a signature for the purposes of creating a contract.

About Shoosmiths

Shoosmiths LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales (registered number OC 374987) and is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority (SRA ID 569065). Our professional rules can be found at <https://www.sra.org.uk/solicitors/standards-regulations/>.

Shoosmiths is a trading name of Shoosmiths LLP and Shoosmiths (Northern Ireland) LLP.

Shoosmiths LLP is also recognised as a multi-national practice with the Law Society of Scotland under number 43932. The Law Society of Scotland regulates Scottish activities through its professional rules which can be found at <https://www.lawscot.org.uk/members/rules-and-guidance/rules-and-guidance/>.

We use the word partner to refer to a member of Shoosmiths LLP, or an employee or consultant who is a lawyer with equivalent standing and qualifications or a non lawyer who has met the firm's stringent promotion criteria. A list of members' names together with a list of non members who are designated as partners, is available for inspection at our registered office at 100 Avebury Boulevard, Milton Keynes. MK9 1FH.

Shoosmiths (Northern Ireland) LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in Northern Ireland (number NC001384) and is authorised and regulated by the Law Society of Northern Ireland through its professional rules which can be found at <http://www.lawsoc-ni.org/>. A list of members is available at the registered office at 2nd Floor, 2-14 East Bridge Street, Belfast, BT1 3NQ.

The recipient of this email will at all times be dealing with Shoosmiths LLP unless it is clear from the context or specifically attributed to Shoosmiths (Northern Ireland) LLP.

About this email

This email is CONFIDENTIAL and LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. If you are not the intended recipient of this email and its attachments, you must take no action based upon them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone. Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error. Whilst Shoosmiths has taken reasonable steps to scan this email, it does not accept liability for any virus contained within it.

Telephone calls may be recorded to comply with our regulatory requirements as well as for monitoring and training purposes. If you contact us by email you agree to us replying to the email address that you give us. You need to be aware that our reply may not be completely confidential if you share an email address at home or at work. Please be advised that we cannot guarantee the security of the information transmitted by email and therefore, if you do not wish to correspond by email, please write or telephone us instead.

DISCLAIMER This email together with any attachments, is for the exclusive and confidential use of the addressee(s) and may contain legally privileged information. Any other distribution, use of reproduction without the sender's prior consent is unauthorised and strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by email immediately and delete the message from your computer without making any copies. While attachments are virus checked, the recipient should check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. We accept no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this email. Email transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete or contain viruses. For more information about how we use your personal data please see our full privacy notice, which is available online on our website or in other formats on request. **IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT FRAUD AND PHISHING ATTACKS:** If you receive an email that appears to come from us which indicates a change of bank details it is unlikely to be genuine. Our bank account details WILL NOT change during the course of a transaction, and we WILL NOT notify you of bank details in the body of an email. If you are ever unsure about the validity of account details provided you are advised to verify the details before you transfer any monies to us. Do not reply to an email appearing to come from us advising of a change to bank details or act on any information contained within the email. Please contact us immediately if this happens. We accept no responsibility for monies transferred into an incorrect account.

HINCKLEY NATIONAL RAIL FREIGHT INTERCHANGE – LAND SOUTHWEST OF M69 JUNCTION 2

RELEVANT REPRESENTATIONS

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1. We are instructed by Parker Strategic Land Limited (“Parker”) and the following individuals:
 - i) Philip Ian William Bailey
 - ii) Linda Margaret Bailey
 - iii) David Arnold Woodward
 - iv) Jane Elizabeth Woodward
 - v) Jane Lang Woodward
 - vi) Jonathan Charles Woodward
 - vii) Leonard Cooper Bailey
 - viii) Keith William Bailey
 - ix) David John Bailey
(the “Landowners”).
- 1.2. This response is made on behalf of Parker and the Landowners and relates to Tritax Symmetry (“Tritax”)’s DCO application for the proposed Hinckley National Rail Freight Interchange (“HNRFI”).
- 1.3. The Landowners own that land covering approximately 44 hectares to the southwest of the M69 Junction 2 shown edged red on the plan attached at Appendix 1 (the “Employment Site”). Parker has an interest in the Employment Site by way of a promotion agreement with the Landowners.
- 1.4. The Employment Site is being promoted for the development of 133,000sqm of employment floorspace, a motorway services area and a hotel.
- 1.5. The Employment Site is adjacent to the M69 junction 2. It is not within the Green Belt and is not subject to any significant landscape constraints. Due to its strategic location and transport links, it is considered highly suitable for employment led development.
- 1.6. The Employment Site was first put forward in the ‘Call for Sites’ consultation in May 2019 on the emerging Blaby Local Plan. It was also recently included in the 2021 Regulation 18 ‘Issues and Options’ consultation, which identified the site (reference EAST001) as an option for future employment growth. It is earmarked for circa 133,000sqm of employment floorspace, a motorway services area and a hotel and is highly likely to come forward in the Blaby District Council Regulation 19 Local Plan.
- 1.7. The Employment Site’s status as an emerging strategic allocation is a material consideration for the Secretary of State when determining the DCO application. It should therefore be given consideration by the Secretary of State during the examination process, so that the HNRFI does not place any unreasonable additional constraints on the Site that would harm its future development.

- 1.8. The Employment Site falls within the DCO land and the northern part of it is proposed to be acquired temporarily for use as *“temporary construction laydown sites and stock piling areas for topsoil and subsoil material and construction of temporary haul roads including access in connection with the works to junction 2 of the M69 motorway”*. This is the area shown shaded yellow on Land Plan 4. No detail is provided on how long the land could be required. It is therefore possible that the proposed temporary use could significantly hinder the development of the Employment Site.

2. OBJECTIONS

- 2.1. Parker and the Landowners robustly object to the temporary acquisition of the Employment Site on the following grounds:
 - 2.1.1. The absence of a compelling case in the public interest;
 - 2.1.2. The Employment Site is not needed because there is an alternative means of bringing about the objective of the DCO; and
 - 2.1.3. Lack of consideration of alternatives.
- 2.2. These grounds are discussed in more detail below, but Parker and the Landowners reserve the right to expand on these grounds in its detailed representations during the examination of the Order.

3. ABSENCE OF COMPELLING CASE

- 3.1. Section 122 of the Planning Act 2008 (the “Act”) makes it clear that a development consent order may only authorise compulsory acquisition if the Secretary of State is satisfied there is a compelling case in the public interest. The purposes for which the order is made must also justify interference with the human rights of those with an interest in the land affected.
- 3.2. Tritax’s Statement of Reasons does not disclose a compelling case in the public interest for the exercise of compulsory purchase powers in respect of the Employment Site. In fact, no detailed justification for the inclusion of the Employment Site is set out in either the Statement of Reasons or any of the other DCO application documents.
- 3.3. Instead, there are only vague references to plots being temporarily acquired with the aim of minimising the interference with that land. However, no analysis or detailed evidence is provided to explain how the Employment Site has been chosen as a “construction laydown site and stock piling area”, why that exact area of land is required or what is being done to “minimise interference”. This falls woefully short of demonstrating that there is a compelling case in the public interest for the site’s acquisition.

4. SITE NOT NEEDED DUE TO ALTERNATIVE MEANS OF BRINING ABOUT THE OBJECTIVE OF THE ORDER

- 4.1. The Site is not needed as there are alternative areas to use as a construction laydown sit and stock piling area in connection with the works to junction 2 of the M69 motorway. In particular, there is a significant amount of space within the main body of the HNRFI site that could easily be utilised for such purposes.
- 4.2. Furthermore, Schedule 2 of the draft DCO notes that the works to junction 2 of the M69 motorway are be carried out prior to occupation of any warehouse floorspace. We also note that the development is to be carried out in a phased manner with not all warehouse units likely to be delivered initially.
- 4.3. Therefore, there is no reason why vacant space within the main body of the HNRFI that will form later phases of the substantive development cannot be used as a construction compound relating to the junction 2 works; such works being carried out at an early stage of the HNRFI development.

5. LACK OF CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES

- 5.1. It is a requirement of the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 ("IP EIA Regulations") that the applicant's environmental statement must (amongst other matters):
 - 5.1.1. describe "the reasonable alternatives (for example in terms of development design, technology, location, size and scale) studied by the developer, which are relevant to the proposed project and its specific characteristics, and an indication of the main reasons for selecting the chosen option, including a comparison of the environmental effects"; and
 - 5.1.2. provide "a description of the measures envisaged to avoid, prevent, reduce or, if possible, offset any identified significant adverse effects on the environment".
- 5.2. The assessment should take account of the impact and effect of the HNRFI scheme on a number of factors, including the following:
 - 5.2.1. Community and private assets, including private property;
 - 5.2.2. Development land including potential strategic development sites; and
 - 5.2.3. The local and wider economy.
- 5.3. In order to undertake a robust and legally compliant EIA Tritax must consider reasonable detailed alternatives in terms of the manner of delivery of the HNRFI scheme so as to avoid any unnecessary adverse effects on landowners, potential development sites and the wider economy. This has not been carried out properly as there has been no consideration of

alternatives to the use of the proposed temporary acquisition land. The ES provided as part of the application is therefore flawed.

6. SUMMARY

- 6.1. Any construction compound in that area would sterilise the proposed development of the Employment Site, as well as the existing land use, for a significant period of time. This would be completely unacceptable to our clients.
- 6.2. In summary:
 - 6.2.1. There is no compelling case for the temporary acquisition and sterilisation of the Site.
 - 6.2.2. There are reasonable alternatives to the proposed temporary acquisition and NH has failed to adequately explore those alternatives.
 - 6.2.3. The application for the DCO is flawed
- 6.3. Parker and the Landowners therefore robustly object to the DCO application as currently proposed and reserve the right to expand on these grounds in their detailed representations during the examination of the DCO.
- 6.4. Parker and the Landowners are also concerned that there is, more generally, a lack of sufficient evidence to support the proposed DCO and refer to the Relevant Representations of the consortium of land promoters predominantly consisting of Parker and Barwood Development Securities Limited.

Appendix 1 –Site plan

